E

UTAH POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (UPDES) MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM (MS4) ANNUAL REPORT FORM

Reports are to be sent to:

SEP 1 7 2008

Kh sha

Utah Division of Water Quality Attn: UPDES Municipal Storm Water Program 288 North 1460 West P.O. Box 144870 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

Annual reports are due no later than three months from the end of the fiscal year for the reporting MS4. The following form is required and must be signed and certified in accordance with requirements in the MS4's permit under Part 1. of this form.

1. MS4 Information Hyde Park City Name of MS4 Michael Grunig Name of Contact Person hydeparkcityhall@comcast.net Email Address (435) 563-6507 Telephone (including area code) P.O. Box 489 Mailing Address Hyde Park Utah 84318 ZIP code City State What is the current population of your MS4? 3450 What is the reporting period for this annual report? From July 2007 to June 2008

Certification Statement:

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Michael Grunig Name (printed)

Public Works Director Title <u>9/16/08</u> Date



Document Date: 09/16/2008

| UPI | DES MS4 Annual Report F | Form (cont) | | | | 2 |
|-----|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 2. | Water Quality Prioriti | ess and the second s | aanne 4 we addining alaan ay kan dhaan ah | 5. Haler - Hilliblidgeryr 40 er | alashio232 | ν «Ini di 1000, ξ — αλλοπείμασου < − κ « |
| A. | Does your MS4 discharge | to waters listed as impaired on | your state 303(d) li | ist? | Yes 🛛 N | o |
| | A list of draft, approved and pending (Total Maximum Daily Load) TMDLs as well as in-progress TMDL water quality studies can be found at http://www.waterquality.utah.gov/TMDL/index.htm. | | | | | |
| B. | | red water, the impairment(s), while the source of the field of the source of the sourc | | s been approv | ed by EPA t | for each, and |
| Imp | npaired Water Impairment Approved TMDL | | | | MS4 Ide source of | ntified as Fimpairment |
| | ······································ | | □ Yes | 🗆 No | 🗌 Yes | □ No |
| | | | 🗆 Yes | 🗆 No | 🗋 Yes | 🗋 No |
| | | | 🗌 Yes | 🗋 No | 🗋 Yes | 🗋 No |
| C. | What specific sources of t | hese pollutants of concern are ta | rgeted? | | | |
| D. | . Do you have discharges to any high-quality waters (e.g., Category 1 or Category 2 as defined in Utah Administrative Code R317-2-3)? | | | | | 🖾 No |
| E. | Are you implementing additional specific provisions to ensure their continued integrity? | | | | 🗋 Yes | 🗋 No |
| 3. | Public Education and | Public Participation | n yn yw yw yw ar yw | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | antifi kiteri (e de de socialitado el maio el participado) | wahausa taga ing sa |
| A. | Is your public education p pollutants? | rogram targeting specific pollut | ants and sources of | those | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |
| Β, | If yes, what are the specifi | c causes, sources and/or polluta | nts addressed by y | our public edu | ication prog | ram? |
| | | dirt and debris in gutter, | | | | |
| C, | Note specific successful <u>outcome(s)</u> (NOT tasks, events, publications) fully or partially attributable to your public education program during this reporting period. | | | | | |
| | decrease in amount of | <u>debris in gutters, decre</u> | <u>ase in ground w</u> | ater in san | itary sewe | <u>er</u> |
| D. | . Do you have an advisory committee or other body comprised of the public and other stakeholders that provides regular input on your stormwater program? | | | | | 🛛 No |
| 4. | Construction | | тин на на малинини и т | *, | aalii kaaga aa ka ka ka ka saaaaaaaa | alala municus di se d |
| A. | . Do you have an ordinance or adopted policies (If the ordinance was adopted or updated during this reporting period, then a copy of the ordinance should be attached to this report) stipulating: | | | | | |
| | Erosion and sediment con | | | | 🛛 Yes | 🗋 No |
| | Other construction waste | control requirements? | | | 🛛 Yes | 🗆 No |
| | Requirement to submit co | nstruction plans for review? | | | 🛛 Yes | 🗆 No |
| | MS4 enforcement authorit | y? | | | 🛛 Yes | 🗆 No |
| B. | How many active construct $\underline{4}$ | ction sites disturbing at least one | e acre were there in | your jurisdic | tion this rep | orting period? |
| C. | | sites did you inspect this report | ing period? 4 | | | |
| | - | nes each, or with what frequency | | inspected y | veekly | |
| E. | Do you prioritize certain c | onstruction sites for more frequ | ent inspections? | | ⊠Yes | 🗌 No |
| | | eria? problems that have a | • | problems | | |
| | | | | | | |

~

UPDES MS4 Annual Report Form (cont)

F. Identify which of the following types of enforcement actions you used during the reporting period for construction activities, indicate the number of actions, or note those for which you do not have authority:

| | □ Yes □ Yes □ Yes | Notice of violation Administrative fines Stop Work Orders Civil penalties Criminal actions | # <u>3</u> # # # | No Authority [] No Authority [] No Authority [] No Authority [] No Authority [] | | |
|----|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | _ □ Yes | Administrative orders Other | # # | No Authority \square | | |
| G. | | | | heet) to track the locations, uction sites in your jurisdiction? | 🗋 Yes | 🛛 No |
| H. | What are the | 3 most common types | of violations document | ed during this reporting period? | | |
| | sediment control, erosion control, mud on roads | | | | | |
| | | an a | x x00000 > J04 M GARREN 6C C + x000004 apres - co-dimension | 1817 и ни написани и на сулит на сулит 60 | ан 4 _ж . нт с ванарозования и с народарон | N OK C + Had BHITHOMM , , F. Ha has smar |
| | | harge Elimination | | | | |
| | - | • - | | ters of your storm sewer system? | | 🗋 No |
| | - | mpleted a map of all sto | - , | | ⊠Yes | 🗋 No |
| C. | How many c | outfalls have you identif | ed in your system? <u>0</u> | | | |
| D. | How many of these outfalls have been screened for dry weather discharges? 0 | | | | | |
| E. | How many of these have been screened more than once? $\underline{0}$ | | | | | |
| F. | What is your frequency for screening outfalls for illicit discharges? $\underline{0}$ | | | | | |
| G. | Do you have an ordinance that effectively prohibits illicit discharges? (If the ordinance was adopted or updated during this reporting period, then a copy of the ordinance should be \boxtimes Yes \square No attached to this report). | | | | | |
| H. | During this reporting period, how many illicit discharges/illegal connections have you discovered (or been reported to you)? $\underline{0}$ | | | | | |
| I. | Of those illic eliminated? | | nections that have been | n discovered or reported, how ma | ny have be | en |
| 6. | Storm Wat | ter Management fo | r Municipal Opera | tions | nie dezwieł – w skławini odkonnik przeziednik drzek sz | ngan maran di kabasa di kana da ang kabasa di kabasa kabasa kabasa kabasa kabasa kabasa kabasa kabasa kabasa ka |
| Α. | Have storm | water pollution preventi | on plans (or an equival | ent plan) been developed for: | | |
| | All parks, ba | Il fields and other recrea | ational facilities | | 🛛 Yes | 🔲 No |
| | All municipa | ul turf grass/landscape m | anagement activities | | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |
| | All municipa | al vehicle fueling, opera | tion and maintenance a | ctivities | 🖾 Yes | 🗌 No |
| | All municipa | al maintenance yards | | | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |
| | All municipa | al waste handling and di | sposal areas | | 🗌 Yes | 🖾 No |
| В. | Are storm w | ater inspections conduct | ed at these facilities? | | 🛛 Yes | 🗆 No |
| | | at frequency are inspect | | | | |
| C. | | d operating procedures pairs, catch basin clean | | ed for all MS4 field activities? nent, etc.) | 🛛 Yes | 🗋 No |
| D. | Do you have a prioritization system for storm sewer system and permanent BMP inspections? | | | | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |

- E. On average, how frequently are catch basins and other inline treatment systems inspected? 2 times yearly
- F. On average, how frequently are catch basins and other inline treatment systems cleaned out/maintained? 2 times yearly

| UP | DES MS4 Annual Report Form (cont) | | 4 | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| G. | Do municipal employees in all relevant positions and departments receive comprehensive training on storm water management? | 🛛 Yes | 🗋 No | | |
| H. | If yes, do you also provide regular updates and refreshers? | 🖾 Yes | 🗋 No | | |
| | If so, how frequently and/or under what circumstances? RWAU training at least year | ly | | | |
| 7. | Post-Construction Storm Water Management in New Development and F | Redevelo | pment | | |
| A. | Do you have an ordinance or other mechanism to require: | | | | |
| | Site plan reviews of all new and re-development projects? | 🛛 Yes | 🗆 No | | |
| | Maintenance of storm water management controls? | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | | |
| | Retrofitting? | 🛛 Yes | 🗋 No | | |
| В | What is the threshold for new/redevelopment storm water plan review? (e.g., all projects, prothan one acre, etc.) | ojects distu | rbing greater | | |
| | all projects | | | | |
| C. | Do you have either design standards or performance standards for new and re-development (at least one acre and larger) that are required to be met? | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | | |
| | Have you adopted design standards/performance measures for new/redevelopment projects? | | 🗌 No | | |
| E. | | | | | |
| | Flow volumes | 🛛 Yes | 🗋 No | | |
| | Peak discharge rates | 🛛 Yes | 🗆 No | | |
| | Discharge frequency | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | | |
| _ | Flow duration | 🛛 Yes | 🗋 No | | |
| F. | Please provide the URL/reference where all post-construction stormwater management stand | dards can be | e found. | | |
| ~ | APWA Standard Plans | | | | |
| | How many development and redevelopment project plans were reviewed this year? $\underline{4}$ | | | | |
| Н. | How many were approved? $\underline{4}$ | | | | |
| I. | How many permanent storm water management practices/facilities were inspected? $\underline{4}$ | | | | |
| | How many were found to have inadequate maintenance? $\underline{4}$ | | | | |
| K. | . Of those, how many were notified and remedied within 90 days? (If window is different than 90 days, please specify) $\underline{3}$ | | | | |
| | How many enforcement actions were taken? $\underline{3}$ | | | | |
| | Do you use an electronic tool (e.g., GIS, database, spreadsheet) to track post-construction BMPs, inspections and maintenance? | 🗆 Yes | 🖾 No | | |
| N. | Do all municipal departments and/or staff (as relevant) have access to this tracking system? | | 🖾 No | | |
| 8. | Program Resources | -> 1-0000 BALLINGS / 1-0-5-50000EptE ← 2 ALLENGEST | Markelling of Anno-Angelerand Strand Parageteran | | |
| A. | What was your annual expenditure to implement the requirements of your MS4 UPDES per reporting period? $\frac{4500.00}{2}$ | nit and SW | MP this past | | |
| В. | What is next year's budget for implementing the requirements of your MS4 UPDES permit a \$4500.00 | and SWMP | ? | | |
| C. | Do you have an independent financing mechanism for your storm water program? | | | | |
| D. | If so, what is it/are they (e.g., storm water fees), and what is the annual revenue derived from this mechanism? | | | | |
| | Source: Amount \$ | | | | |
| | Source: Amount \$ | | | | |
| | | | | | |

UPDES MS4 Annual Report Form (cont)

E. How many FTEs does your municipality devote to the storm water program (specifically for implementing the storm water program vs. municipal employees with other primary responsibilities that dovetail with storm water issues)?
0

| F. | Do you share program implementation responsibilities with any other entities? \Box Yes \Box No | | | | | 🗋 No | |
|----|--|--|---------|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|
| | Entity Smithfield City | \$ 1 P | | Your Oversight/Accountability Mechanism observance/ borrown truck from them | | | |
| | Cache Valley Cities | Hold Stormwater fair for 4 th g students | rade | | t in planning ation at the f | • | ind |
| | | | v . a.a | ···· · | | 70 A | |
| | <u> </u> | ······································ | | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | ** | · · | * |

9. Evaluating/Measuring Progress

A. What indicators do you use to evaluate the overall effectiveness of your storm water management program, how long have you been tracking them, and at what frequency? Not that these are not measurable goals for individual BMPs or tasks, but large-scale or long-term metrics for the overall program, such as in-stream macroinvertebrate community indices, measures of effective impervious cover in the watershed, indicators of in-stream hydrologic stability, etc? (*Please attach additional information if necessary*)

| | Began Tracking | | Number of | |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Indicator | (year) | Frequency | Locations | |
| <i>Example:</i> E. coli | 2003 | Weekly April–September | 20 | |
| | | ··· ···· | ,, ,,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, | |

B. What environmental quality trends have you documented over the duration of your storm water program? (If you have reports or summaries, you can either attach them electronically, or provide the URL to where they may be found on the Web.)_____

N:\Rthiele\annual report form doc

.

08/14/2008
